

In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Hugo Rosales,

Appellant,

v.

**Icicle Seafoods, Inc. and Seabright
Insurance Company,**

Appellees.

Supreme Court No. **S-17578**

Opening Notice

Appellate Rule 204

Date of Notice: **11/18/19**

AWCAC Appeal No. **19-009**

AWCB Decision No. **19-0041**

AWCB Case No. **200706610**

1. On 9/10/19, Appellant filed an appeal of the Alaska Workers' Compensation Appeals Commission's (AWCAC's) order dismissing appeal distributed on 8/14/19. Attorneys whose names and addresses are correct on this notice need not file an entry of appearance. All documents filed by a party who is not represented by counsel shall include an address at which that party can be served. The caption in this case will be as shown above.

2. The notice of completion of preparation of file is due from the AWCAC appeals clerk on or before **12/30/19**. The record in this appeal will include only the documents and proceedings in the case referenced above. If either party believes that the record should contain documents or proceedings from any other related case, that party should file an appropriate motion.

This case may be subject to the requirements of Appellate Rule 221.

- ☐ This case is exempt from the settlement discussion requirement under Appellate Rule 221. **Appellant must file the form notice/certificate enclosed by 12/30/2019, however, Part 3 need not be completed.**

Rosales v. Icicle Seafoods, Inc., et al.
Supreme Court No. S-17578
Notice of 11/18/19
Page 2

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

Ryan Montgomery-Sythe,
Chief Deputy Clerk

cc: Alaska Workers' Compensation Appeals Commission Clerk

Distribution:

Mail:
Rosales, Hugo
Harrell, Merrilee S.

In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

Hugo Rosales,

Appellant,

v.

**Icicle Seafoods Inc. and Seabright
Insurance Company,**

Appellees.

Supreme Court No. S-17578

Notice/Certificate

Appellate Rule 221

Trial Court Case No. **1WC-19-0000009**

NOTICE/CERTIFICATE REQUIRED BY APPELLATE RULE 221

Part 1. Trial Court Settlement History.

1. Did the parties attempt settlement at the trial court level?
 - ☐ yes (answer questions 2-5)
 - ☐ no (skip to Part 2)
2. What form(s) did the settlement discussions take? (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ informal discussions
 - ☐ negotiations led by private neutral (e.g., mediator)
name of private neutral: _____
 - ☐ settlement conference with judge
name of judge: _____
 - ☐ other
describe: _____
3. Who was involved in the settlement discussions? (Check all that apply)
 - ☐ counsel for all parties
 - ☐ all clients
 - ☐ other
describe: _____
4. How long did the settlement discussions take?

5. What was the outcome of the settlement discussions at the trial court level?
- ☐ parties reached agreement on one or more issues or claims
 - ☐ case settled as to some parties, but not all parties
 - ☐ issues were narrowed
 - ☐ no issues or claims were narrowed or resolved

Part 2. Pro Se Party Involvement.

- ☐ Indicate here if no appellate settlement discussion took place because one or more parties are unrepresented by counsel and therefore the provisions of Appellate Rule 221 do not apply. If the box in this section **is** checked, the appellant should sign below:

Signature

Date

If the box in this section is **not** checked, the attorneys must complete Part 3.

Part 3. Certificate of Appellate Settlement Discussion.

By signing below, each attorney certifies that the attorneys have discussed settlement after the filing of the appeal as required by Appellate Rule 221, and that the attorney's client(s) had knowledge of the settlement discussion.

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

Date

Signature

FILE THIS ORIGINAL PLUS ONE COPY WITH THE COURT.